

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Arizona

Case No. _____

(to be filled in by the Clerk's Office)

Samuel D. Ellis

Plaintiff(s)

(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

-v-

City of Scottsdale

Defendant(s)

(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names. Do not include addresses here.)

Jury Trial: *(check one)* Yes No

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS

(Non-Prisoner Complaint)

I. The Parties to This Complaint

A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name	Samuel D Ellis		
Address	PO BOX 127		
	Globe	AZ	85501
	<i>City</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Zip Code</i>
County	Gila		
Telephone Number	602-742-7887		
E-Mail Address	legal@justified.capital		

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person’s job or title (if known) and check whether you are bringing this complaint against them in their individual capacity or official capacity, or both. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant No. 1

Name	City of Scottsdale		
Job or Title <i>(if known)</i>	Assistant City Attorney, Abe N. Bowman		
Address	3939 N Drinkwater Blvd		
	Scottsdale	AZ	85251
	<i>City</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Zip Code</i>
County	Maricopa		
Telephone Number	(480) 312-2407		
E-Mail Address <i>(if known)</i>	ABowman@scottsdaleaz.gov		

Individual capacity
 Official capacity

II. Basis for Jurisdiction

Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, you may sue state or local officials for the "deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and [federal laws]." Under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971)*, you may sue federal officials for the violation of certain constitutional rights.

A. Are you bringing suit against (check all that apply):

Federal officials (a *Bivens* claim)

State or local officials (a § 1983 claim)

B. Section 1983 allows claims alleging the "deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and [federal laws]." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you are suing under section 1983, what federal constitutional or statutory right(s) do you claim is/are being violated by state or local officials?

The Defendant violated the Plaintiff's 4th Amendment rights by conducting a warrantless seizure according to an abusive invocation of Arizona State Legislation A.R.S. 36-526.

C. Plaintiffs suing under *Bivens* may only recover for the violation of certain constitutional rights. If you are suing under *Bivens*, what constitutional right(s) do you claim is/are being violated by federal officials?

D. Section 1983 allows defendants to be found liable only when they have acted "under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you are suing under section 1983, explain how each defendant acted under color of state or local law. If you are suing under *Bivens*, explain how each defendant acted under color of federal law. Attach additional pages if needed.

The Defendant incorrectly invoked Arizona State Legislation Section 36-526 "Emergency admission; examination; petition for court-ordered evaluation" by coloring a letter the Plaintiff gave to a corporation as dangerous without having any material evidence that the Plaintiff met the ARS 36-526 emergency requirement of: "...likely without immediate hospitalization to suffer serious physical harm or serious illness or to inflict serious physical harm on another person."

III. Statement of Claim

State as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant was personally involved in the alleged wrongful action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events. You may wish to include further details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.

A. Where did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?

Scottsdale Fashion Square
7014 E Camelback Rd, Scottsdale, AZ 85251

B. What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?

11AM MST on March 19, 2025.

C. What are the facts underlying your claim(s)? *(For example: What happened to you? Who did what? Was anyone else involved? Who else saw what happened?)*

The abuse of Police power in this claim was born in the loyalty of a parent desperate to support their child. A parent who became a Navy Seal when they were no more than a child themselves, and would go on to work with the Arizona Police in an official capacity. Tony Kelly is the perfect type of veteran to work for the Police later in life. Decorated and proven under stress, hardened and satisfied by service to the nation. As credible as they are loyal.

Loyalty and proficiency should not come as a surprise, since Tony Kelly went through some of the most rigorous military training in existence at a young age. Broken down and reprogrammed by being pushed to his physical and mental limits in exercises like being drowned and resuscitated. A savage normality of his life that would severely impact his parenting, training his young son like he was trained. Forcibly drowning his son, Pat, in the water to drown the fear out of him. Freeing him of fear but burying him deeper and deeper in trauma with every struggling breath that was met with water instead of air. A harsh treatment that carried the silver lining of Pat being extremely well prepared to attend United States Military Academy, West Point.

Pat met the Plaintiff at West Point where they were Judo teammates from 2010-2012. Growing close because they were both fueled by the trauma of never feeling like they were good enough for their father figures. Sharing a desire as friendly competitors to pack-on muscle-mass and not be seen as small or weak.

Friendly competition turned toxic when- a decade or so after graduating West Point- Pat's spouse developed material romantic feelings for the Plaintiff. Feelings that were never actualized, but created meaningful jealousy and hatred of the Plaintiff. Fueled by the childhood trauma of not feeling good enough in the one relationship where they should feel secure. Causing a pain that feels a lot like slowly drowning- prolonged frustration that fuels spikes of anger.

So Pat acted out of uncontrollable spite by lying to his dad in order to get the Arizona Police to do everything in their power against the Plaintiff. Framing the Plaintiff with slander as an evil monster who is a threat to society. Painted with gruesomely baseless lies that Tony told his Police colleagues. And just as Tony showed loyalty to his son, Tony's colleagues extended him loyalty by believing his claims without rigorous fact-finding. Eager to put their power to work against the Plaintiff who they believed to be a genuine threat.

The Arizona Police then engaged the Plaintiff using the department where the Plaintiff was most active, which is why the Defendant is the Scottsdale Police Department via City of Scottsdale. Who reached out to the Plaintiff via email using a Crisis Response Team Detective on February 24, 2025 without any context or explanation other than wanting to talk on the phone. [Noting that the email exchange can be found in Appendix A.]

In response to the Defendant's aimless inquiry, the Plaintiff made the assumption that the Defendant was reaching out in reference to a letter that the Plaintiff wrote and distributed on or about February 14, 2025 in the Scottsdale Fashion center for the purpose of addressing the rumors that started with Tony Kelly's lies about the Plaintiff. Lies that made the Defendant feel like they were acting with duty and moral-correctness by attempting to entrap the Plaintiff at the Police Station. A notion corroborated by the fact that the Defendant never responded to repeated attempts by the Plaintiff to arrange a neutral, productive discussion. In fact, the Defendant completely stopped responding via email after February 27, 2025.

On March 19, 2025- the Plaintiff went to the Vans store to buy a pair of shoes. Upon returning to his car and inspecting the shoe box, he realized that there were two right shoes. A statistical improbability when taking a box directly from inventory. So the fact that two field officers of the Defendant were at the Vans store at the exact moment when the Plaintiff got back to swap the shoe box, makes it highly likely that the Defendant abused their power to coerce the Plaintiff.

The two field officers of the Defendant explained that they simply wanted to talk and that they had a couple people from their crisis team on the way to talk. Then, when the Defendant's two crisis team detectives showed up, they asked the Plaintiff about the aforementioned letter. But the Defendant clearly did not have the best interest of the Plaintiff in mind because the Defendant made no empathetic acknowledgements or suggestions towards a productive public safety solution. Instead, the Defendant repeated that the letter made a female Vans employee feel uncomfortable, and then asked the Plaintiff if they were willing to submit for a voluntary mental health screening.

When the Plaintiff responded that they did not feel they needed voluntary mental health screening or treatment, the Defendant immediately began to put the Plaintiff in handcuffs for involuntary mental health screening. The Plaintiff resisted the handcuffs momentarily out of pure shock at the violation of his 4th amendment rights as he exclaimed: "Please someone help- I'm being taken against my will."

The Plaintiff pleaded with the field officers to let him go because this treatment was not just. To which one field officer of the Defendant responded: "We're just doing our job." Making it clear that the Defendant seizing the Plaintiff was official business of the City of Scottsdale and the Police.

After being escorted out of the mall, the Plaintiff was put into the back of a vehicle of one of the detectives and taken to Community Bridges Psychiatric Emergency Center. Which began 16 days of incarceration in the mental health hospital system.

An incarceration that was made possible by the Defendant acting as the judge, jury, and executioner. Presenting facts on the state of Arizona's Emergency Evaluation Form (MH-104) that make a mockery of justice and mental healthcare. [Noting that the filled MH-104 can be found in Appendix B]. A.R.S. 36-520 requires evidence that a person has a mental disorder, for which the Defendant included the following statements; paired with important factual context beneath each statement:

1. "Plaintiff told SPD that a chip has been implanted into his head which causes him to have thoughts forced upon him."

The Plaintiff was involved in a bad business deal from 2022-2023 anchored by an foreign spy using the business deal as a front for nefarious operations. Such as getting the Plaintiff to go overseas and taking advantage of him while sleeping. An example that- when paired with the fact that the spy's cousin ran a neural micro chip company- makes a chip implant more of a likelihood than a deranged idea from a mental health disorder.

2. "Plaintiff told SPD that while he was at a Suns game, he knew that the people sitting next to him were undercover Scottsdale Police Detectives"

The Plaintiff certainly sat next to undercover members of the Police force. Regardless- whether members of the Defendant were or were not undercover has nothing to do with the existence of a mental health disorder for the Plaintiff.

3. "Plaintiff wrote a 12-page letter and gave it to a female employee at the Vans Shoes store. The letter contains content consistent with untreated mental illness."

The Plaintiff's letter was written to a generalized audience and addressed clearly on the front to Vans (the Company), not to the female employee. And while it may contain content consistent with untreated mental illness, the Defendant gave no concrete examples that have a clear association with a particular disorder. And if any examples do exist, they are extremely limited in comparison to examples in the writing that show an extremely cogent thinker and writer in the Plaintiff.

4. "Plaintiff admits to being under the care of a counselor about 2 years ago, but would not disclose any diagnosis."

Plaintiff saw an online therapist three times without any productivity about 2 years ago. Plaintiff has never formally been diagnosed with a mental disorder.

A.R.S. 36-526 requires evidence that “the person is likely without immediate hospitalization to suffer serious physical harm or serious illness or to inflict serious physical harm on another person”, for which the Defendant included the following statements; paired with important factual context beneath each statement:

1. "Plaintiff made unwanted advances on a female shoe store employee and gave her a gift that included a 12-page letter containing sexually violent content. Plaintiff also expresses in the letter that there is an all-knowing being is controlling the simulation that his is living in now and controlling his actions and what he does."

Plaintiff was never in the personal space of the female shoe store employee and spoke to her exactly the same as the other shoe store employees. Acknowledging that the Plaintiff used practically no words when handing the female shoe store employee a bag with a letter addressed to her employer on the front page of the letter, along with a small gift. A letter which contains no traditionally-defined mentions of violence (sexual or otherwise), but does mention rape in a conceptual context. Along with mentioning simulation theory in a conceptual lens without leading to any actions that would lead anyone to believe the Plaintiff were anything other than a creative and hyper-logical thinker. Especially given that simulation theory has become popular and accepted.

2. "Plaintiff believes there is an electric chip implanted in his brain, placing unwanted thoughts, including rape and sexual violence against women. "Well- the chip was inserted through my nasal passage into a position where its bio-electrical influence over the hypothalamus can control things like hormones that drive sleep. Worst- there is influence directly into my consciousness. Which is technically not provable. But a manipulation that showed up in the real world like blocking my sleep and forcing me to think about women was impossible to ignore."

In 2001, leading up to *Kyllo vs United States*- the idea of being able to see people through walls was practically laughable. Yet, the Police had thermal imaging technology that could do just that. Redefining possible and pushing the boundaries of our personal privacy. So it is not unreasonable to think that- 25 years later- one could project the electrical signals to a brain that creates an idea in the conscious mind. A notion that is as scary as it is realistic, so it gets buried as mentally disorderly by those who are uncomfortable like the Defendant. Unable to appreciate that the Plaintiff expresses that the ideas of consciousness manipulation are unprovable. As the Plaintiff has demonstrated no actions anomalous from those with a more traditional worldview that doesn't include simulations or consciousness manipulation.

Regardless of the differences in worldview between the Plaintiff and Defendant, one fact stands out most in this case that that shows that the Defendant abused A.R.S. 36-524 to seize the Plaintiff: The Defendant claims that the existence of the 12 page letter and the act of the Plaintiff giving the letter to the female Vans employee proved that the Plaintiff was mentally ill enough to be materially dangerous. Dangerous enough according to A.R.S. 36-524 to not be able to being able to operate with freedom for two business days without harming themselves or others. Yet, a month transpired after the Plaintiff's act in question without anything close to dangerous behaviour, and the Police seized the Plaintiff despite a clear lack of concrete risk. Abusively acting under the color of a state regulation without the proper rigor.

IV. Injuries

If you sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe your injuries and state what medical treatment, if any, you required and did or did not receive.

Plaintiff has sustained material yet undiagnosed and untreated emotional and mental damage. The Defendant has made the Plaintiff practically paranoid of professional therapeutic help to the point where they have a need for a therapist or psychiatrist but have too much anxiety about entrapment to be seen. Left to contend with the equivalent of a mental and emotional gunshot wound that's leaked blood and insufficiently healed. Hidden behind clothing and grimaces, the true damage is unknown because a professional has not been able to examine and treat.

V. Relief

State briefly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If requesting money damages, include the amounts of any actual damages and/or punitive damages claimed for the acts alleged. Explain the basis for these claims.

The Plaintiff requests that the court order the Defendant to remit \$7,124,950 based on the average precedent of relevant civil cases settled by the Defendant.

VI. Certification and Closing

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

A. For Parties Without an Attorney

I agree to provide the Clerk’s Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk’s Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing: April 1, 2026

Signature of Plaintiff _____
Printed Name of Plaintiff Samuel Ellis

B. For Attorneys

Date of signing: _____

Signature of Attorney _____
Printed Name of Attorney _____
Bar Number _____
Name of Law Firm _____
Address _____

City State Zip Code

Telephone Number _____
E-mail Address _____

Appendix A

Scottsdale PD contact  Inbox x



Llanez, Jesse - 1544 <JLlanez@scottsdaleaz.gov>
to me ▾

Feb 24, 2025, 4:21 PM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

Good afternoon, I am trying to get a hold of Samuel Ellis. If you wouldn't mind emailing me back a good for number to reach and or give me a call at 480-709-2534. Thank you again.

Jesse Llanez #1544
Scottsdale Police Department
Crisis Response Team Detective
Work Phone (480) 709-2534



Samuel Ellis <sam.dave.ellis@gmail.com>
to Jesse ▾

 Feb 25, 2025, 8:46 AM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

Hi Jesse,

Thank you for the note.

It means the world to me that there's a team of people in the Arizona municipal force who have advanced negotiation skills and training to respond and assist with mental health calls.

As I'm sure you're aware, I recently moved to Arizona. Which is a return from my initial stint here with the Army down in Sierra Vista as an intel officer for my core training program. A time during which I gained an appreciation for the AZ police force from an accident where I had an officer who was a Marine veteran named Eddie who was super supportive and cool about the whole thing.

Since I've had somewhat of a turbulent outlook on the municipal authorities based on past experience, that positive interaction with Arizona police meant a lot in my decision to move here long-term.

Now, to address the intention behind your note- I recently circulated a letter that had several provocative ideas in it. Many of which need to be managed by those with power to lose from the general awareness of the ideas. So it's no surprise that you're not the first person to reach out on the topic. To be honest, I am grateful for people like you and my sister reaching out to make sure I'm ok. And since my sister reached earlier in the same context, I've included the core of our exchange in the attachment.

I think the exchange will help fill you in a lot, as it has a useful analogy for mental health care in my situation. So give that a read, and then I'm interested to hear your thoughts in general, but especially with how I can be supportive to you and the people of Arizona.

I know the condensed mission for the Arizona PD is: *courteous vigilance*. A reassuring one for the citizens, as you all keep careful and thoughtful watch over us. A mission that I want to ensure that I'm helping with, instead of working against.

So once you and your team have had some time to process and compose some thoughts on how I can be a supportive Arizona denizen, then let's work on capturing a purpose behind a meeting in an agenda form. That is- if we even feel a meeting is necessary. Of course, I'd welcome the opportunity, but I want to be conscious and respectful of your limited and valuable time.

So if you feel a meeting makes sense, might I suggest lunch at Schmooze at your earliest convenience. Noting that I'm flexible to meet at the day and time of your preference, as well as help iterate on an agenda that supports the mission.

Thanks again for your thoughtfulness, and I'm looking forward to hearing from you.

With Sincerity and Gratitude,
Samuel Ellis

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail ⓘ



Llanez, Jesse - 1544 <JLlanez@scottsdaleaz.gov>
to me ▾

Feb 25, 2025, 6:41 PM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

Thank you for responding Samuel, I will have to look at my work availability to see when we could meet. In the mean time if you have a phone number to reach you at and get in contact that way it would help tremendously. Thank you again for the time.

Jesse Llanez #1544
Scottsdale Police Department
Crisis Response Team Detective
Work Phone (480) 709-2534

From: Samuel Ellis <sam.dave.ellis@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2025 6:47 AM
To: Llanez, Jesse - 1544 <JLlanez@scottsdaleaz.gov>
Subject: Re: Scottsdale PD contact

⚠ External Email: Please use caution if opening links or attachments!



Samuel Ellis <sam.dave.ellis@gmail.com>
to Jesse ▾

Feb 26, 2025, 7:15 AM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

Hi Jesse,

Thanks so much for the timely response and working with me on this.

Phones are insecure to talk about what you'd like to talk about. Remember- I started in Arizona as an Army intel Officer, so I have a feel for what happens on the wire.

Happy to meet at your convenience in-person. I'll make this a priority if you just hit me with a time and place with a bit of heads up.

Grateful,
Sam



Llenez, Jesse - 1544 <JLlenez@scottsdaleaz.gov>
to me ▾

Feb 26, 2025, 4:08 PM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

Understandable, what's your availability today? Would love for you to come by our district 2 police station at 3700 N 75th St in Scottsdale.

...



Samuel Ellis <sam.dave.ellis@gmail.com>
to Jesse ▾

Feb 27, 2025, 9:29 AM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

Hi Jesse,

I'm flexible today and can meet at a time of your choice.
My only ask is that we meet at a neutral location.

Maybe Yellow Spruce or Red Canyon? Which are both super close to you.

Keep me posted.

Looking forward,
Sam

...



Llenez, Jesse - 1544 <JLlenez@scottsdaleaz.gov>
to me ▾

Feb 27, 2025, 2:07 PM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

Were not gonna be able to do that at this time. So let me know what time works best for you. I am available until 5pm

...



Samuel Ellis <sam.dave.ellis@gmail.com>
to Jesse ▾

Feb 27, 2025, 2:27 PM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

Hi Jesse,

I'll have to pass, as I don't feel safe enough in a police station to discuss personal mental health topics. A comfortable, neutral place that's quiet should be sufficient for anything related to the intersection of mental health and public safety.

But like I alluded to in the attachment, I'm much more thoughtful in written communication. Since the additional processing space and time that writing allows is objectively more therapeutic and productive for me.

So if there's a few questions you have that will help you all out, then you're welcome to float them here. Noting of course that- if they're sensitive, then we can set up a secure channel. Which I'm more than happy to help with, if you find that useful. It turns out that secure two-party information exchange has been an interest that I've written some software against.

Either way- I'm looking forward to being supportive on the reasonable grounds in which I'm comfortable.

Appreciate your time, effort, and energy.

Warmly and sincerely,
Sam

...



Llenez, Jesse - 1544 <JLlenez@scottsdaleaz.gov>
to me ▾

Feb 27, 2025, 2:56 PM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

You have to understand the optics of an officer sitting down for coffee, lunch, food, etc while working. I also do not want to speak about your personal business in public. Are you still located in Globe, Arizona?

...



Samuel Ellis <sam.dave.ellis@gmail.com>
to Jesse ▾

Feb 27, 2025, 3:22 PM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

Hi Jesse,

Sorry for misreading the optical considerations for on-duty officers.

In that spirit- I'd also like to sharpen up my insistence on not meeting at a police station to discuss personal business. Specifically- I'm referencing technology that has some positive probability of being at your stations that can record and manipulate input.

As you're probably aware, a core part of my problem is being silenced and isolated. Consequently, meeting in public is objectively better. Also why I choose to float some of my writing in public, which- I believe- is how you got to me in the first place.

So I'm happy to correspond about anything on this thread, or with the assistance of a secure exchange medium. Or happy to meet somewhere other than the station.

All my best,



Llanez, Jesse - 1544 <JLlanez@scottsdaleaz.gov>
to me ▾

Feb 27, 2025, 3:26 PM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

What about the parking lot of the station, open air. We do wear body cameras so that would be the only device with recording capabilities. I do not want to lie to you about that.



Samuel Ellis <sam.dave.ellis@gmail.com>
to Jesse ▾

Feb 27, 2025, 4:37 PM ☆ 😊 ↶ ⋮

Grateful for your consideration, but I'm not interested in meeting at the station.

Let's try answering any questions you have via writing. Again- happy to provide a secure mechanism.

And if writing doesn't work- can you please let me know why? Then we can work towards a mutually acceptable solution based on that constraint.

Thank you,

Appendix B

MH-104 (Form B)

APPLICATION FOR EMERGENCY ADMISSION FOR EVALUATION
(Pursuant to A.R.S. § 36-524)

STATE OF ARIZONA)
)ss.
COUNTY OF MARICOPA)

MF/MS Samuel David Ellis
WAS DETAINED AT COMMUNITY BRIDGES
COMMUNITY PSYCHIATRIC EMERGENCY CTR
DATE 3/19/15 TIME 1:09
PROVIDER Jared Ford
TITLE RN
SIGNATURE [Signature]

The undersigned applicant, being first duly sworn/affirmed, hereby requests that:

CBI Community Psychiatric Emergency Center
(Evaluation Agency)

admit the person named herein for evaluation.

1. The undersigned applicant alleges that there is now in the County a person whose name and address are:

Samuel David Ellis Globe, AZ (No further info available)
(Name) (Address)

and that she/he believes that the person has a mental disorder and as a result of said mental disorder, is: a danger to self; a danger to others;

and that during the time necessary to complete pre-petition screening under A.R.S. §§ 36-520 AND 36-521, the person is likely without immediate hospitalization to suffer serious physical harm or serious illness or is likely to inflict serious physical harm upon another person.

The conclusion that the person has mental disorder is based on the following facts:

CT told SPD that a chip has been implanted into his head which causes him to have thoughts forced upon him.
CT told SPD that while he was at a Suns game, he knew that the people sitting next to him were undercover Scottsdale Police Detectives.
CT wrote a 12-page letter and gave it to a female employee at a Vans Shoes store. The letter contains content consistent with untreated mental illness.
CT admits to being under the care of a counselor about 2 years ago, but would not disclose any diagnosis.

The specific nature of the danger posed by this person is:

CT is DTO/PAD/GD. CT made unwanted advances on a female shoe store employee and gave her a gift that included a 12-page letter containing sexually violent content. CT also expresses in the letter that there is an all-knowing being is controlling the simulation that he is living in now and controlling his actions and what he does.

CT believes there is an electric chip implanted in his brain, placing unwanted thoughts, including rape and sexual violence against women. "Well, the chip was inserted through my nasal passage into a position where it's bio-electrical influence over the hypothalamus can control things like hormones that drive sleep. Worst- there's influence directly into my consciousness. Which is not technically provable. But a manipulation that showed up in the real world like blocking my sleep and forcing me to think about women was impossible to ignore."

A summary of the observations upon which this statement is as follows:

CT is DTO/PAD/GD. CT believes a chip has been inserted into his brain, forcing dark thoughts and controlling what he does. CT wrote a 12-page letter, containing multiple mentions of rape, sex assault, and non-consensual sex acts with women.

CT indicates that the chip is in control, and additionally there is a God-like creature who is controlling the simulation that is CT's life. CT appears to have a fixation on sexual violence against women. With CT reporting a chip being in control of his behavior, he is a significant danger to the community.

"So I struggle a lot with it. As beings can make sacrifices for certain thoughts to show up in my head. It's maddening to say the least but I've grown to manage it and learn a lot. It's really hard to deal with the effects of the chip. It's basically forced thoughts into my head that have framed me for things combined with subconscious influence from relationships."


PERSONAL DATA OF PROPOSED PATIENT:

Age	35	Date of Birth	7-18-90	Sex	M	Race	B
Weight	155	Height	511	Hair Color	Black	Eye Color	Brown
Marital Status	UNK	Number of Children	UNK				
Social Security Number	UNK	Religion	UNK				
Distinguishing Marks	UNK						
Occupation	UNK						
Present Location	CBI-CPEC with Scottsdale Police						
Dates and Places of Previous Hospitalization	UNK						
How Long in Arizona	UNK	State Last From	UNK				
Veteran	YES	C-No.	UNK	Education	Westpoint Military Academy		

NAME ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF:

- 1) Guardian
- 2) Spouse
- 3) Next of Kin
- 4) Significant Other Persons

DATE


Applicant Signature


Printed or Typed name of Applicant

Relationship to Proposed Patient

Applicant's Address

Applicant's Telephone

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 19 day of March 2025
day month year


Notary Public

My Commission Expires:
03/06/2026

