

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Arizona

Samuel D. Ellis

Plaintiff(s)

(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

-v-

Community Bridges, Inc.

Defendant(s)

(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names. Do not include addresses here.)

Case No. _____

(to be filled in by the Clerk's Office)

Jury Trial: *(check one)* Yes No

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS

(Non-Prisoner Complaint)

I. The Parties to This Complaint

A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name	Samuel D Ellis		
Address	PO BOX 127		
	Globe	AZ	85501
	<i>City</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Zip Code</i>
County	Gila		
Telephone Number	602-742-7887		
E-Mail Address	legal@justified.capital		

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person’s job or title (if known) and check whether you are bringing this complaint against them in their individual capacity or official capacity, or both. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant No. 1

Name	Community Bridges, Inc.		
Job or Title <i>(if known)</i>	Chief Legal Officer, Ben Runkle		
Address	1855 W Baseline Rd #101		
	Mesa	AZ	85202
	<i>City</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Zip Code</i>
County	Maricopa		
Telephone Number	480-831-7566		
E-Mail Address <i>(if known)</i>	benjamin.runkle@cbridges.com		

Individual capacity
 Official capacity

II. Basis for Jurisdiction

Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, you may sue state or local officials for the "deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and [federal laws]." Under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), you may sue federal officials for the violation of certain constitutional rights.

A. Are you bringing suit against (check all that apply):

Federal officials (a *Bivens* claim)

State or local officials (a § 1983 claim)

B. Section 1983 allows claims alleging the "deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and [federal laws]." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you are suing under section 1983, what federal constitutional or statutory right(s) do you claim is/are being violated by state or local officials?

The Defendant is considered a state and local official by the Hatch Act and similar definitions because they are funded by official local, state, and federal funds.
The Defendant violated the Plaintiff's 4th Amendment rights by falsely imprisoning the Plaintiff using an abusive innovation of Arizona State Legislature A.R.S. 36-526.

C. Plaintiffs suing under *Bivens* may only recover for the violation of certain constitutional rights. If you are suing under *Bivens*, what constitutional right(s) do you claim is/are being violated by federal officials?

D. Section 1983 allows defendants to be found liable only when they have acted "under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you are suing under section 1983, explain how each defendant acted under color of state or local law. If you are suing under *Bivens*, explain how each defendant acted under color of federal law. Attach additional pages if needed.

The Defendant abusively and falsely imprisoned the Plaintiff based on the Scottsdale Police Department's incorrect coloring of Arizona State Legislature A.R.S. 36-526. Where the Defendant blatantly ignored the poorly colored emergency admission form (MH-104; Appendix A) that contained no clear evidence of the Plaintiff: "without immediate hospitalization to suffer serious physical harm or serious illness or is likely to inflict serious physical harm upon another person." A critical requirement to protect official local resources and the freedom of citizens in precarious scenarios. Making it clear that the Defendant extended the civil rights abuse of the Scottsdale Police Department, acting under the color of law; falsely imprisoning the Plaintiff.

III. Statement of Claim

State as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant was personally involved in the alleged wrongful action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events. You may wish to include further details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.

A. Where did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?

One of the Community Bridges locations. Most likely: 358 E Javelina Ave, Suite 102, Mesa, AZ 85210— although the Plaintiff was under enough duress that his generally near-flawless memory is proving unreliable at recalling the exact location.

B. What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?

~12:09 PM MST on 3/19/2025

C. What are the facts underlying your claim(s)? (For example: What happened to you? Who did what? Was anyone else involved? Who else saw what happened?)

On March 19, 2025- the Scottsdale Police incorrectly invoked Arizona State Legislation Section 36-526 “Emergency admission; examination; petition for court-ordered evaluation” by coloring a written letter the Plaintiff gave to a corporation as dangerous without having any material evidence that the Plaintiff met the ARS 36-526 emergency requirement of: “...likely without immediate hospitalization to suffer serious physical harm or serious illness or to inflict serious physical harm on another person.”

Given that the Police violated the Plaintiff’s 4th Amendment rights by conducting a warrantless seizure according to an abusive invocation of ARS 36-52, the application for Emergency Admission for Evaluation (Appendix A) was clearly colored abusively. Analogous to a DUI booking that did not actually include a breathalyzer reading or any hard evidence that a person was drinking other than a corrupt Police officer filling out a report saying the person was behaving like someone drinking. When they very well may have just dropped their driving glasses, not had four drinks. Regardless, the evidence of drinking is key or else the Police are coloring.

Similarly, the evidence that the Plaintiff was going to be the cause of serious physical harm was absent. No hard, actionable threats. No weapons. No aggression. And no history of any mental illness associated with threats or harm. If anything, the Plaintiff’s behavior was exemplarily during the period of time in question. Equivalent to a perfect but impatient driver being booked for a DUI because they were switching lanes between cars consistent with someone who had been drinking, but the breathalyzer reading blew zero and there was no concrete evidence of drinking beyond allegations.

Evidence of an ailment is critical to the admission of anyone to a healthcare institution with limited resources. Especially for an institution that's a state or federal sponsored non-profit who should be stretching every dollar. Creating the classic scene of a parent losing their cool at a healthcare institution because of a bureaucratic admission rule that's ultimately designed to conserve resources and turn away kids that do not perfectly meet their admission rubric.

Now imagine a busy state-sponsored hospital with only a few beds available and the likelihood of having more critical patients on the way. And the Police show up with a person who they insist has a dangerous stomach illness, but the person looks totally healthy. And when the admission nurse asks the prospective patient about their stomach pain, they say they have no pain and the Police are mistaken. Then, with a concerned look on her face, the admission nurse starts "I'm sorry but we ca—" and before she finishes, one of the officers pulls the nurse aside and whispers "admit him or your husband goes to jail for you know what."

A pointed example, but not dissimilar in principle from what the Defendant did to the Plaintiff. Where the Police presented no evidence of an acute threat or issue, and the Plaintiff stated he had no issues—yet the Plaintiff was still admitted— an effective false imprisonment. Surrounded by patients and captives who needed help but were not getting as much as they needed because of abuses of power and resources like the admission of the Plaintiff by a Defendant aiding in Police civil rights violations.

IV. Injuries

If you sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe your injuries and state what medical treatment, if any, you required and did or did not receive.

While a captive of Community Bridges, Inc- another captive grimaced with focus as he told the Plaintiff that he had not defecated in three days while incarcerated by the Defendant. Implying fear from a high likelihood of being anally raped while sleeping or incapacitated. Indicative of how scary and damaging the environment was while incarcerated by the Defendant. Manifested in the Plaintiff as emotional and psychological damage similar to that of getting kidnapped and raped. Made clear by the Plaintiff's unhealthy paranoia making Rube Goldberg machines attached to the inside of doors to secure nights of sleep that are never full or satisfying because of all the trauma.

V. Relief

State briefly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If requesting money damages, include the amounts of any actual damages and/or punitive damages claimed for the acts alleged. Explain the basis for these claims.

The Plaintiff is seeking \$20,111,639 for injuries, and to shock the precedent of state-sponsored non-profits taking advantage of mentally degraded citizens who cannot defend themselves. A figure that is the average of the Defendant's CRISIS expense category for the last 5 years of \$44,489,399.20 minus the projected actual expenses of the CRISIS expense category of \$24,377,760. Marking a figure that fits within the Defendant's \$28.3M surplus from \$85.8M total assets and \$57.4M total liabilities, and is the minimum expense that will cause systemic change. Any lesser amount will not be taken seriously and fall short of preventing thousands of struggling Americans from being taken advantage of in the future.

VI. Certification and Closing

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

A. For Parties Without an Attorney

I agree to provide the Clerk’s Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk’s Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing: April 17, 2026

Signature of Plaintiff _____
Printed Name of Plaintiff Samuel Ellis

B. For Attorneys

Date of signing: _____

Signature of Attorney _____
Printed Name of Attorney _____
Bar Number _____
Name of Law Firm _____
Address _____

City State Zip Code

Telephone Number _____
E-mail Address _____

The specific nature of the danger posed by this person is:

CT is DTO/PAD/GD. CT made unwanted advances on a female shoe store employee and gave her a gift that included a 12-page letter containing sexually violent content. CT also expresses in the letter that there is an all-knowing being is controlling the simulation that he is living in now and controlling his actions and what he does.

CT believes there is an electric chip implanted in his brain, placing unwanted thoughts, including rape and sexual violence against women. "Well, the chip was inserted through my nasal passage into a position where it's bio-electrical influence over the hypothalamus can control things like hormones that drive sleep. Worst- there's influence directly into my consciousness. Which is not technically provable. But a manipulation that showed up in the real world like blocking my sleep and forcing me to think about women was impossible to ignore."

A summary of the observations upon which this statement is as follows:

CT is DTO/PAD/GD. CT believes a chip has been inserted into his brain, forcing dark thoughts and controlling what he does. CT wrote a 12-page letter, containing multiple mentions of rape, sex assault, and non-consensual sex acts with women.

CT indicates that the chip is in control, and additionally there is a God-like creature who is controlling the simulation that is CT's life. CT appears to have a fixation on sexual violence against women. With CT reporting a chip being in control of his behavior, he is a significant danger to the community.

"So I struggle a lot with it. As beings can make sacrifices for certain thoughts to show up in my head. It's maddening to say the least but I've grown to manage it and learn a lot. It's really hard to deal with the effects of the chip. It's basically forced thoughts into my head that have framed me for things combined with subconscious influence from relationships."

PERSONAL DATA OF PROPOSED PATIENT:

Age	35	Date of Birth	7-18-90	Sex	M	Race	B
Weight	155	Height	511	Hair Color	Black	Eye Color	Brown
Marital Status	UNK	Number of Children	UNK				
Social Security Number	UNK	Religion	UNK				
Distinguishing Marks	UNK						
Occupation	UNK						
Present Location	CBI-CPEC with Scottsdale Police						
Dates and Places of Previous Hospitalization	UNK						
How Long in Arizona	UNK	State Last From	UNK				
Veteran	YES	C-No.	UNK	Education	Westpoint Military Academy		

NAME ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF:

- 1) Guardian UNK
- 2) Spouse UNK
- 3) Next of Kin UNK
- 4) Significant Other Persons UNK

3-19-2025
DATE

[Signature]
Applicant Signature

Printed or Typed name of Applicant Detective Tim Koerner #875

Relationship to Proposed Patient Scottsdale Police Crisis Response Detective

Applicant's Address 3700 N 75th Street Scottsdale AZ 85251

Applicant's Telephone 480.312.5000

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 19 day of March 2025
day month year

[Signature]
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:
03/06/2026

